1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8		
9		
10	UNITED STATES	DISTRICT COURT
11	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CAI	LIFORNIA, OAKLAND DIVISION
12		
13	CHASOM BROWN, WILLIAM BYATT,	Case No. 4:20-cv-03664-YGR-SVK
14	JEREMY DAVIS, CHRISTOPHER CASTILLO, and MONIQUE TRUJILLO,	REVISED (NOVEMBER 28, 2023) [PROPOSED] ORDER REGARDING
15	individually and on behalf of all similarly situated,	TRIAL STIPULATIONS
16	Plaintiffs,	Judge: Hon. Yvonne Gonzalez Rogers
17	v.	Courtroom 1 – 4th Floor Date: November 29, 2023
18	GOOGLE LLC,	Time: 9:00 a.m.
19	Defendant.	Trial Date: January 29, 2024
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
		Case No. 4:20-cv-03664-YGR-SVK

[PROPOSED] ORDER REGARDING TRIAL STIPULATIONS

1	Plaintiffs and Google LLC (the "Parties") respectfully submit this revised Proposed Order
2	Regarding Trial Stipulations, which has been updated to reflect disputes that have been resolved by
3	the parties.
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	1 Case No. 4:20-cv-03664-YGR-SVK [PROPOSED] ORDER REGARDING TRIAL STIPULATIONS

## 

### 

# 

Pursuant to Paragraph 3(b) of the Court's Standing Order re: Pretrial Instructions in Civil cases, and the trial stipulations in the Joint Pretrial Conference Statement filed October 17, 2023 (Dkt. No. 1028-1), as modified by the parties on November 28, 2023, the Court hereby ORDERS as follows:

#### AGREED UPON STIPULATIONS

- 1. Copies of documents may be used at trial in lieu of originals and shall not be deemed inadmissible solely on the basis that they are copies.
  - 2. Venue is proper in this Court.
  - 3. This Court has personal jurisdiction over the Parties.
- 4. California law applies to all disputes arising out of or relating to the Terms of Service, service-specific additional terms, or any related services.
- 5. Proposed demonstratives to be used during opening statements must be exchanged no later than 12:00 p.m. PT January 25, 2024. Any objections to opening demonstratives must be exchanged no later than 6:00 p.m. PT on January 25, 2024 and to meet and confer by no later than 7:00 p.m. PT on January 25, 2024. Any disputes on opening demonstratives will be presented to the Court on January 26, 2024. Each Party's opening presentation must be limited to 125 slides.
- 6. Any Party seeking to use a demonstrative will provide a native copy to the other side via FTP or thumb drive, including for video or animations. This provision does not apply to demonstratives created during testimony which need not be provided to the other side in advance of their use. In addition, blow-ups or highlights of exhibits or parts of exhibits or testimony are not required to be provided to the other side in advance of their use.
- 7. For deposition designations or counter-designations to be admitted into evidence, they must either be played by video or read in open court. The court reporter will transcribe any deposition video testimony that is played in open court.
- 8. If deposition testimony will be presented by video, the party offering the deposition testimony in its case-in-chief shall provide a copy of the video to be played to the opposing party by 7:00 p.m. the night before the testimony is to be played.

- 9. Demonstrative exhibits that the Parties intend to use at trial do not need to be included on their respective lists of trial exhibits with the exception of any such exhibits, such as Rule 1006 summaries, that a party will seek to be admitted into evidence. Plaintiff's demonstratives will be identified with PDX numbers. Defendants' demonstratives will be identified with DDX numbers.
- 10. Each Party must identify any witnesses they intend to call (live or by deposition), in the order in which they will be presented, no later than 7:00 p.m. PT three calendar days before the day on which the witnesses will testify. For the avoidance of doubt, if a witness is expected to testify on January 29, 2024, the witness must be identified by no later than 7:00 p.m. PT on January 26, 2024.
- 11. For deposition designations, the Party calling the witness by deposition shall, no later than 7:00 p.m. PT three calendar days before the witness is to be called at trial, serve a chart of the deposition testimony it expects to play and the exhibits it expects to introduce with the designations, with a listing of all previously served objections and counter-designations. The Parties will meet and confer two calendar days before the deposition testimony is expected to be played to narrow their disputes and identify any remaining objections to be presented to the Court in a joint report to be filed by 11:59 p.m. PT two calendar days before the testimony is expected to be played at trial, which includes a copy of the entire deposition testimony of the witness at issue, clearly highlighting the designations, counter-designations, exhibits and any remaining objections, as well as a brief indication (*i.e.*, no more than one sentence per objection) of the basis for the objection and the offering Party's response to it.

#### DISPUTED STIPULATIONS<sup>1</sup>

- 12. **RESOLVED AND AGREED** [Intentionally Omitted]
- 13. **DISPUTE NARROWED**

**Agreed Portion:** Each Party's closing presentation must be limited to 125 slides.

**Disputed Portion:** 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The parties' positions on these disputes are further explained in the parties' joint pre-trial conference statement. Dkt. 1028-1 at 39-44.

[Plaintiffs' Proposal: The parties need not exchange closing presentations.]

[Google's Proposal: Demonstrative exhibits to be used for closing arguments will be exchanged no later than 6:00 P.M. PT the day before closing arguments. Any objections to demonstratives to be used for closing arguments will be provided no later than 9:00 P.M. the day before closing arguments, and the Parties shall meet and confer regarding any objections no later than 10:00 P.M. PT the day before closing arguments to identify any disputes that need to be raised with the Court the morning of closing arguments.]

#### 14. **DISPUTED**

[Plaintiffs' Proposal: As a general rule, for any witness whom both Plaintiffs and Google intend to call, Google's examination during Plaintiffs' case-in-chief shall be limited to the scope of Plaintiffs' examination; however, the Court on a case-by-case basis will consider requests from Google to allow a witness to testify only once, if that witness's unique circumstances so warrant.]

[Google's Proposal: Each witness will testify only once. If Plaintiffs and Google plan to call the same witness for each of their respective cases, Google may conduct its examination of that witness during Plaintiffs' case in chief at trial and Google's examination will not be limited to the scope of Plaintiffs' examination.]

#### 15. **DISPUTED**

[Plaintiffs' Proposal: If any third-party witness requests to testify out of order (e.g., a third-party witness whom Google is calling requests to testify during Plaintiffs' case-in-chief), the parties will upon notification promptly meet and confer about that request and if necessary raise any dispute with the Court.]

[Google's Proposal: Either Party may call third-party witnesses out of order (including Google calling witnesses during Plaintiffs' direct case and *vice versa*) if necessary, to accommodate the schedules of third-party witnesses.]

#### 16. **DISPUTE NARROWED**

4

5

6

7 8

10 11

9

13

14

12

15 16

1718

20

19

2122

23

2425

26

2728

19. **RESOLVED AND AGREED [Added to Agreed Upon List as No. 11]** 

Agreed Portion: A neutral introduction may be made before the testimony of each witness who testifies by deposition designation that identifies the witness's name, title, current Google employment status, and place of residency.

<u>Disputed Portion</u>: [Google's Proposal: The neutral introduction will also include the reason the witness is not appearing live at trial.]

### 17. RESOLVED AND AGREED [Added to Agreed Upon List as No. 10]

#### 18. **DISPUTED**

[Plaintiffs' Proposal: Plaintiff agrees to the exchange schedule and framework set forth in Google's Proposal below, but believes the disclosure obligation should not apply to cross-examinations nor direct examinations of adverse witnesses (e.g., when Plaintiffs call a Google employee or former Google employee in their case-in-chief.]

[Google's Proposal: Each Party must identify any exhibits, by exhibit number, that it may use for the direct and cross examination of each witness testifying at trial (other than unforeseeable impeachment) and provide copies of any demonstrative exhibits that may be shown during the examination in advance. Exhibits and demonstratives for direct examinations (whether adverse or not) must be disclosed three calendar days in advance (i.e., the same time the witness is disclosed) and cross-examination exhibits and demonstratives must be disclosed by 5:00 p.m. PT two calendar days before the witness is to be called. Unresolved objections to proposed exhibits and demonstratives shall be provided by 8:00 p.m. PT two calendar days before the witness is expected to testify at trial. The Parties shall promptly confer regarding any objections by no later than 10:00 p.m. PT two calendar days before the witness is expected to testify at trial to narrow their disputes and identify any remaining objections to be presented to the Court in a joint report to be filed by 11:59 p.m. PT two calendar days before the witness is expected to testify at trial. For the avoidance of doubt, if a witness is expected to testify on January 29, 2024, the Parties shall exchange objections to proposed exhibits and demonstratives by no later than 8:00 p.m. PT on January 27, 2024, meet and confer no later than 10:00 p.m. PT on January 27, 2024, and file a joint report no later than 11:59 p.m. PT on January 27, 2024.]

1	20. <b>DISPUTED</b>
2	Plaintiffs' Proposal: If a party opts to introduce deposition testimony, that testimony will
3	be played or read in full, followed by any counter-designations, notwithstanding the sequence in
4	which the testimony was originally given at deposition. However, any testimony necessary to satisfy
5	the Rule of Completeness will be played or read along with the corresponding testimony.
6	[Google's Proposal: If a Party opts to introduce deposition testimony, any counter
7	designation of that same witnesses' testimony will be played or read consecutively in the sequence
8	in which the testimony was originally given at deposition.]
9	21. RESOLVED AND AGREED [Added to Agreed Upon List as part of No. 5]
10	
11	
12	IT IS SO ORDERED.
13	
14	DATED: HON. YVONNE GONZALEZ ROGERS
15	United States District Court Judge
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26   27	
27 28	
۵۵ ا	
	6 Case No. 4:20-cy-03664-VGR-SV